

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,899	08/26/2003	John F. Hagios	BUR920030063US1	1898
30449	7590 12/28/2004		EXAM	INER
	R, OLSEN + WATTS	NGUYEN, TUYEN T		
SUITE 201			ADTIBUT	DADED MUNICIPAL
3 LEAR JET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, N	NY 12033		2832	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·		10/604,899	HAGIOS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	~	
	·	TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832	(X)	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ress	
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE-OF THIS-COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this come (Company)	munication.	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	•	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters, pre		merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are withdruckings) <u>is/are</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-9</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject </u>	awn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR	• •	
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  De of References Cited (PTO-892)  De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  The No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 08). 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date	152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,899

Art Unit: 2832

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I, species 2, claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 10/7/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining group. This is not found persuasive because claims 9-30 require search in other areas.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McAllister et al. [US 4,734,046].

McAllister et al. discloses a space transformer [figure 2] comprising:

- a power conductor [46];
- at least one power pin [52, 70, 80];
- a ground conductor [48];
- at least one ground pin [54, 72, 82];
- at least one insulator [42] disposed between the power and ground conductors;
- at least one decoupling capacitor [74, 84] electrically connected to the power and ground conductors;

Art Unit: 2832

- a signal board [51]; and

- at least one signal pin [56].

McAllister et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the arrangement of the decoupling capacitor.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to disposed the decoupling capacitor between the power and ground conductors for the purpose of reducing the height of the transformer.

Regarding claims 4-5, the specific length of the power and ground pins would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended applications/environments used.

Regarding claims 6-7, the specific inductance value of the decoupling capacitor would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of controlling the inductance of the transformer.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Double Patenting

Claims 1-2 and 4-7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 4-7 of copending Application No. 10/604,185. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Art Unit: 2832

patentably distinct from each other because they're both claiming the same invention subject

matter.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Bove et al. [US 3,911,361].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TIJ

Tuyan T. Nguylan